

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

---

**In Re: AUTOMOTIVE PARTS  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

---

**CASE NO. 12-MD-02311**

**Honorable Marianne O. Battani**

---

**In Re: HEATER CONTROL  
PANELS CASES**

---

---

**THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
ALL DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS**

---

**2:12-cv-00401-MOB-MKM**

**ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT  
WITH SUMITOMO DEFENDANTS AND PROVISIONAL  
CERTIFICATION OF DIRECT PURCHASER SETTLEMENT CLASS**

Upon consideration of the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Proposed Settlement With Sumitomo Defendants, and for Provisional Certification of a Direct Purchaser Settlement Class (the "Motion"), it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

1. The Motion is hereby **GRANTED**.
2. Terms used in the Order that are defined in the Sumitomo Settlement Agreement (hereinafter, "the Settlement Agreement") are, unless otherwise defined herein, used in this Order as defined in the Settlement Agreement.

Preliminary Approval of Settlement Agreement

3. The terms of the Settlement Agreement are hereby preliminarily approved as being fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class, subject to a Fairness Hearing. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement was entered into at arm's length by experienced counsel and is sufficiently within the range of reasonableness that notice of the Settlement

Agreement should be given to members of the proposed Settlement Class, pursuant to a plan to be submitted to the Court for approval by Settlement Class Counsel in a separate motion.

Class Certification

4. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and in light of the proposed settlement, the Court hereby finds that the prerequisites for a class action have been met and provisionally certifies the following class for settlement purposes (the “Settlement Class”):

All individuals and entities (excluding Defendants and their present and former parents, subsidiaries, and affiliates) that purchased Heater Control Panels in the United States directly from one or more Defendants, any current or former subsidiary of any Defendant, or any alleged co-conspirators of the Defendants from January 1, 2000 through December 13, 2016.

5. For purposes of the Settlement Class definition set forth above, the following entities are Defendants: Denso Corporation; Denso International America, Inc.; Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd.; Sumitomo Wiring Systems, Ltd.; Sumitomo Electric Wiring Systems, Inc.; Tokai Rika Co., Ltd.; and TRAM, Inc.

6. The Court finds that provisional certification of the Settlement Class is warranted in light of the Settlement Agreement because: (a) the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable; (b) the Direct Purchaser Plaintiff Class representatives’ claims present common issues and are typical of the Settlement Class; (c) the Direct Purchaser Plaintiff Class representatives and Settlement Class Counsel (identified below) will fairly and adequately represent the Settlement Class; and (d) common issues predominate over any individual issues affecting the members of the Settlement Class. The Court further finds that the Direct Purchaser Plaintiff Class representatives’ interests are aligned with the interests of all other members of the

Sumitomo the Settlement Class. The Court also finds settlement of this action on a class basis superior to other means of resolving the matter.

Appointment of Class Representatives and Settlement Class Counsel

7. The Court hereby appoints Plaintiffs, Tiffin Motor Homes, Inc. and SLTNTRST LLC, to serve as Class Representatives of the Direct Purchaser Settlement Class.

8. The Court hereby appoints the law firms of Freed Kanner London & Millen LLC; Kohn, Swift & Graf, P.C.; Preti, Flaherty, Beliveau & Pachios LLP; and Spector Roseman Kodroff & Willis, P.C. to serve as Settlement Class Counsel for the Direct Purchaser Settlement Class having determined that the requirements of Rule 23(g) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are fully satisfied by these appointments.

Other Provisions

9. In the event that the Settlement Agreement is terminated in accordance with its provisions, the Settlement Agreement and all proceedings had in connection therewith shall be null and void, except insofar as expressly provided to the contrary in the Settlement Agreement, and without prejudice to the status quo and rights of the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs, Sumitomo, and the members of the Settlement Class.

10. The Court's provisional certification of the Settlement Class as provided herein is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant to contest certification of any other class proposed in these coordinated actions. The Court's findings in this Order shall have no effect on the Court's ruling on any motion to certify any class in these actions or on the Court's rulings concerning any Defendant's motion, and no party may cite or refer to the Court's approval of the Settlement Class as persuasive or binding authority with respect to any motion to certify any such class or any Defendant's motion.

11. The Court approves the escrow account referenced in the Settlement Agreement as a qualified settlement fund (“QSF”) pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 468B and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder, and retains continuing jurisdiction as to any issue that may arise in connection with the formation or administration of the QSF. Settlement Class Counsel are, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, authorized to use funds from the QSF to pay costs of notice, taxes, tax expenses, and costs of maintaining and administering the Settlement Fund.

12. The Direct Purchaser Class litigation against Sumitomo is stayed except to the extent necessary to effectuate the Settlement Agreement.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Date: February 10, 2017

s/Marianne O. Battani  
MARIANNE O. BATTANI  
United States District Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing Order was served upon counsel of record via the Court's ECF System to their respective email addresses or First Class U.S. mail to the non-ECF participants on February 10, 2017.

s/ Kay Doaks  
Case Manager